# The Student-Writer

A Little Talk Every Month with Those Interested in the Technique of Literature.

Published from the Workshop of Willard E. Hawkins, 1835 Champa Street, Denver, Colorado.

Volume III Number 6

ugh

ritivou.

disyou

o it

nd. p in

hits

preig a ter-

nion ded,

1.50.

per 1.00

ticle

led)

per 1.00

pts,

.25

m.

ent

June, 1918

50c a Year, 3 Years \$1

### THE OPENING PUNCH

(Fourth in the Series of Punch Articles)

TWO story manuscripts are dropped by the postman on an editor's desk. Placed together in the literary scales, they seemingly show equal merit so far as style, characterization, and atmosphere are concerned. Analyzed, they may be reducible to incidents of about equal dramatic appeal. The reader, dipping into incidents here and there in each, may find little to choose between them.

Yet the editor sends one manuscript back to the author, with "regrets," and keeps the other. "It has the punch," is his explanation.

Wherein does that punch lie? Granted that the rejected story is made up of well-handled incidents, good character-drawing, and workmanlike construction, what plus element has the story which was accepted?

It is safe to answer that this plus element is an out-of-theordinary feature.

It must be agreed that without one feature of distinction no literary production possesses strong appeal. The distinctive feature of a composition may lie in its style, subject-matter, arrangement of ideas, or something more subtle, as, the rhyming system of a set of verses; the plot, theme, or characterization of a novel; or the sincerity of an essay. To enumerate the ways in which distinction may be attained would be a formidable undertaking; but it is a truism that without one thing above the average the composition will be commonplace.

In fiction, it will be found that distinction in style, atmosphere, or characterization is to a great extent dependent upon innate gifts possessed by the writer. But the majority of present-day published stories owe their distinction not so much to these features as to their plots.

Distinction of plot is within the range of the majority of writers. While it may not overcome the handicap of poor style, weak charac-

Entered as second-class matter April 21, 1916, at the post office at Denver. Colo. Single copies 5 cents. Foreign subscriptions 75 cents; \$ years \$1.50.

terization, or faulty technique, it usually will bring some acceptance to work that is mediocre in these respects. And it is the handiest ingredient for raising a story above the commonplace—for giving it punch.

qı

pe

pl

to lv

th

T

de

w

sa

a

be

in

th

W

ter

Y

an

fa

th

on

tal

lig

the

on hir

wh

ha

COI

WC

art

sta

int

pic

wh

bu

There are two respects, and only two, so far as I know, in which a plot may have distinction. The punch may lie either in a striking and unusual opening situation or in a striking and unusual climax.

It might be more accurate to speak of the basic situation, or even the conception, instead of the opening situation; but for practical purposes these may be regarded as identical in meaning, and

"opening situation" is least confusing in this connection.

Without going deeply into the relative merits of a distinctive climax and a distinctive opening situation, it may be admitted that each has its advantages. In all probability the story with a strong climax will go farther and live longer than one with an equally strong opening situation but weak in its climax. But the latter has the advantage of gaining more readers at the start, because it at once grips the imagination; just as the circus with the greatest outside display draws the biggest crowd the first day, although in the long run the entertainment that has the best acts inside will win out.

An example of a story in which the distinction consists in a striking basic or opening situation is the serial at present running through the *American Magazine*, Holworthy Hall's "The Man

Nobody Knew."

From the start, this story tends to grip the reader's interest by presenting a strikingly unusual situation, made plausible by the author's deft handling. The hero of the tale returns to his old home town—from which he had departed under a cloud—and his appearance has been so altered by the skill of surgeons "over there" that he is able to pass under another name, unrecognized even by close acquaintances.

That situation, really, is the story. Any skillful writer of romances could make a thrilling serial out of the idea. For, once the basic situation has been conceived, the plot as a whole and the incidents involved in its development are largely matters of detail.

The charm of such story beginning lies in its appeal to the reader's imagination. The possibilities involved in the idea are felt instinctively—the complications and dramatic disclosures, the suspense and surprises which may grow out of it. A plot of this kind, based upon an unusual opening situation, should not, as a rule, develop too rapidly. As soon as the reader sees just what limits the author is going to impose upon himself, part of the zest disappears. For this reason, the story based upon a strong opening situation is best suited, generally speaking, to the leisurely development possible in a long story.

In Holworthy Hall's novel, the hero, now back home and masquerading under another name—practically a reincarnated soul, a personality in a new body—meets the sweetheart with whom he had quarreled before departing. The reader puts himself in the hero's place and feels the thrill of that unusual moment. It is thrilling to anyone responsive to this sort of narrative, and yet—coldly analyzed—in the scenes that take place between the hero and the girl there really is nothing which rises far above the plane of dullness. The thrill lies altogether in the circumstances under which the incidents occur.

Again, the hero meets men whom he had considered his enemies while he formerly lived in the city. Vicariously, the reader feels his satisfaction in successfully masquerading among them, knowing what a sensation would be caused by disclosure of his true identity.

These details, as worked out by the author, are just what might be expected. The meetings and conversations are of no particular interest in themselves—they merely become significant in view of the situation underlying them.

A writer failing to realize the principle back of such a story may weave a tale involving similar incidents and conversations, then attempt to compare his effort with that of the experienced author. Yet, even granting that his passages are handled with the same ease and power that mark those of the master, his story will very likely fall flat, simply because he has nothing back of those scenes to throw a glamour over all that takes place.

Take an analogy. A workman engaged on a skyscraper pauses on a slender beam suspended hundreds of feet above the street level, takes out his pipe, fills it, stands on one foot, strikes a match, and lights up. Then he walks on, puffing contentedly. Thousands watch the nervy exhibition and hold their breath in suspense.

Another workman stops in the middle of the street, stands on one foot, and also lights his pipe. Naturally, no attention is paid to him. It is not, then, the details of the act but the conditions under which it is performed that make it effective—that give it punch.

From which it is easy to see that, of two scenes equally well handled in different stories, one may be intensely thrilling, the other commonplace, simply because of the circumstances underlying. It would be well to recall here the principle mentioned in a former article on "The Precipice of Suspense." It is because the workman stands upon the brink of a man-made precipice that his action is so interesting. And that Holworthy Hall's hero stands upon the precipice of danger that his masquerade may be discovered is the reason why his commonplace conversations with the former sweetheart and business men are read with eagerness.

ance diest iving

hich

max, n, or oracand

that rong ually has once

long

in a ning Man et by the

ome bearthat close of

the etail. the felt sus-

ears. n is Another popular example in light fiction of a story based upon a striking situation was "Brewster's Millions," by George Barr McCutcheon, which appeared some years ago. In that yarn the situation confronting the hero was this: He had fallen heir to a fortune of seven million dollars which was to be his only upon con-

dition that he should spend one million within a year.

The possibilities of this situation are fascinating. If we put ourselves in the hero's place we begin to realize that all sorts of difficulties lie in the way of spending legitimately so large a sum of money within twelve short months. We are dazzled by the mental picture of the rapid pace that must be led—of the meteoric swath to be cut by that million of dollars—of the race against time. Indeed, half of the work will be done by the imagination of the reader, leaping ahead to realize the possibilities of the idea as they are suggested.

The earlier quasi scientific romances of H. G. Wells—"The War of the Worlds," "The Food of the Gods," "The First Men in the Moon," and "The War in the Air," for example—were based upon this principle, carried to an extreme. Suppose it were possible for men to go to the moon—what would they find? This thought probably has flashed through the brain of every person at one time or another—and how it stimulates the imagination! Mr. Wells took advantage of the natural glamour surrounding the idea, made it a plausible hypothesis for his story, and thus prepared the way for a train of adventures in fictional form that could hardly fail to prove entertaining.

The novels of Jules Verne, based upon the same principle, owed their popularity to the fascinating question, "What if so-and-so were possible?" Jules Verne answered it by the suggestion, "Perhaps it is possible!" and immediately he was sure of an audience.

Of course, the ordinary scientific mind could work out possibilities along the line of moon habitation, but it requires a daring fiction-builder to conceive the whole thing as an actual experience and give it the breath of life. An ordinarily clever writer could weave incidents around a hero's efforts to dispose of a million dollars within a year; but it required the mind of an inventive story-

teller to think of the situation in the first place.

These examples are given without intent to glorify light or popular fiction. The principle is not altogether limited to light novels and stories, although in such form it may more readily be studied. It is not difficult to discover novels of deep purpose, classic literary productions, which owe their appeal largely to the opening or basic situations they unfold to readers. At the same time, be it remembered, the chief difference between light and what might be termed deep fiction is that, in the former, the plot is a more important feature than in the latter. In a classic literary production,

H. the rec

tio

let

top

ch

gr

ple

ple

ho

tas

re

pre not ima sho

sto

for

situ and by he

Vita reco ofte it othe a st pro the plot is secondary and the distinction may lie in the atmosphere, characterization, theme, or some more subtle phase. It is readily granted that a story may have distinction without possessing any plot features worth mentioning.

upon Barr

the

to a

con-

put

liffi-

n of

ental th to

leed.

leap-

sted. War

the

1Don

for

rob-

e or

took it a

or a

rove

wed d-so

Per-

nce.

ossi-

ring ence

ould dol-

orv-

t or ight be

ISSIC

ning

e it

t be

imion, A drawback that may be noticed in many stories, in which the plot distinction is gained through an opening situation which seizes hold of the imagination, is that the climax is disappointing. In years to come, readers will recall a story about a man who set himself the task of spending a million dollars within a year, but they will fail to remember what happened to him. We recall in after years that H. G. Wells told an interesting story about some men who visited the moon, but what happened to them while there is but vaguely recalled, if at all.

The climax of the story, if it were the strongest feature, would be remembered even after the opening situation was forgotten. But rarely can there exist more than one outstanding feature of distinction in a single piece of work. In fact, it is the part of wisdom to let one feature—say, either the basic situation or the climax—overtop the other. Were they of equal importance, they would be in danger of weakening each other's effect.

But, naturally, there must be some degree of unusualness in the preliminary passages of any story, or else the striking climax will not succeed, because nobody ever will reach it. And no matter how imagination-compelling the opening situation may be, the climax should be big enough—should have sufficient punch—to prevent the story from seeming to fall flat. It should be greater in dramatic force than any other crisis that has been developed.

Be sure that your plot has one of these two things—an unusual situation which grips the reader at first and makes him want to read and see how the story comes out, or else a climax which catches him by surprise and causes him to forget the semiboredom with which he waded through the preliminary situations.

—W. E. H.

# THE ROASTED STEW By CHAUNCEY THOMAS

I HAVE never yet been able to change a story to order of another, and I have never yet seen one successfully so changed. Vitally and in its broad conception, I mean; minor changes and reconstruction are not counted. Editors—I've done it myself—often suggest that the writer take his yarn and do this or that with it—make some vital change. What they really mean is: write another story. Do so. But do not chop up the other one. If you put a stew down before a man and he wants a roast, do you therefore proceed to roast the stew?

1017

In a story, the roasted stew is worse than the first trial. Just send it off to another editor as it stands. Then, when you have worn them all out, put it away for awhile, and in a year or two you on reading it, may be able to see the change needed yourself. Mark this well-no one but yourself can change a yarn in its fundamental features; nor should you attempt it at the suggestion of another. Another mind may cut out, but can never tell you what to put in. I may chop a yarn and leave blank spaces, but they are for the writer to fill. It must all come from within if it comes at all. Two minds together have never written anything really good. Where is the story, if they have? I want to read it,

FE

AP

MA

JUI

Are you in touch with your fellow writers-their activities, achievements, methods?

### THE LITERARY GOSSIP MONGER.

owned and edited by Hattie Horner Louthan, is devoted to the interests of Colorado writers, both professional cents the year, and amateur. 3600 Raleigh 8 50 cents the year. 3600 Raleigh St. 5 cents the copy DENVER, COLORADO

### Have a Complete File of Student-Writer Articles

Back copies of The Student-Writer may be obtained while they last at 5 cents each or 50 cents for 12 numbers, from January, 1917. Subscriptions may be commenced at the rate of three years for \$1 as far back as desired. The 1916 articles are contained in the book "Helps For Student-Writers." By purchasing this in connection with numbers required to fill out their files from January, 1917, readers may obtain a complete file of all articles, which constitute a continuous textbook in themselves. The rates are: Book and one year's subscription (or any 12 numbers) \$1.25. Book and three years' subscription, \$1.75.

#### Subjects Treated to Date:

JANUARY.	The Business of Writing. The Reporter's Creed Breaking Into the Magazines. Poetry and Rimery.	—Simplicity.
FEBRUARY.	The "Big" Story. The Lesson of the Hitching Post.	Poetry and

Mastering the Vocabulary. Versifying for Practice. The Story MARCH. With a Purpose. The Dwindling News Story.

Outgrowing Criticism. Habits That Go in Pairs. Stories and APRIL Morals. Poetry and Rimery, Sad Endings.

MAY. Mechanical Principles of Creative Writing. Dramatizing Fiction.

JUNE. Web-Work Plot Structure. (Illustrated with diagrams.)

JULY. Web-Work Plot Structure. (Continued.)

AUGUST. The Free Training School for Writers. Web-Work Plot Structure. (Concluded.)

SEPTEMBER. The Essay-Substance and Form. Write the First Page Last OCTOBER. The Fickle Jade Inspiration,

NOVEMBER. An Inspiration Symposium, (Including contributions from Arthur Preston Hankins, William MacLeod Raine, Hapsburg Liebe, Gertrude MacNulty Stevens and William Sanford.)

DECEMBER. An Inspiration Symposium-Second Installment, (Including contributions from Robert Ames Bennet, Frederick J. Jackson, Junius B. Smith, Thane Miller Jones, Edwin Baird and Celia Baldwin Whitehead.)

1918 JANUARY.

Just

nave vou,

Aark nda-

1 of

what are

t all.

ood.

An Inspiration Symposium—Third Installment. (Including contributions from J. Frank Davis, William Merriam Rouse, Harry Stephen Keeler and Kathlyn Leiser Robbins.)

FEBRUARY.

An Inspiration Symposium—Fourth Installment. (Including contributions from Kathrene and Robert Pinkerton, William H. Hamby, E. E. Harriman, William H. Kofoed, Chauncey Thomas and Alexander Hull.)

MARCH.

That Elusive Something—Punch. The Inspiration Symposium—Aftermath. (Including a contribution by Dell H. Munger.)

APRIL, Constructive Punch.

MAY.

Clean Copy.

JUNE.

The Opening Punch,

AN AUTHORITATIVE AND VITALLY ORIGINAL TEXT-BOOK ON AUTHORSHIP THAT SHOULD BE IN THE LIBRARY OF EVERY WRITER, INSTRUCTOR OF ENGLISH, OR STUDENT OF LITERATURE.

### Helps For Student-Writers

By WILLARD E. HAWKINS

Is more than a textbook of rules and formulas. It deals not only with the fundamentals of literary technique but with the psychological relation of the writer to the public.

An illuminating study of literary technique for the student and critic.

Clear enough to be thoroughly grasped by beginners; sufficiently deep and thought-provoking to hold the scholar and experienced writer.

A practical guide and a mine of information for the short-story writer.

The chapters on plot building, viewpoint, style, unity, suspense, characterization, and other phases of short-story building contain information and hints that writers will search for vainly in other text-books.

Contents: Can We Afford to be Original?—Have a Standard of Style.—An Aid to Standardization.—Plot and Climax Essentials.—Naming the Characters.—Photoplays or Fiction?—The Attitude of Mind.—"Snowballing" a Plot.—The Stone Wall of Talent.—Why Strive for Unity.—The Precipice of Suspense.—Fixing the Viewpoint.—Word Lenses.—The Place of Technique.—Creative Characterization.—The Law of Rhythmic Development.—"He Said" and "She Said."—The Boiler and the Whistle.—Hackneyed Plots.—The Purpose of Fiction.

## ATTRACTIVELY PRINTED AND BOUND IN CLOTH, POSTPAID. \$1.00.

at 5

from nstiear's \$1.75.

icity.

and etion.

truc. Last

Gercon-

kson, Celia

# The Student-Writer's Criticism, Revision, and Typing Service.

The Student-Writer criticism service has been pronounced the most thorough and authoritative to be had in America,

All prose work given personal attention or Willard E. Hawkins, verse criticism and revision by John H. Clifford.

Willard E, Hawkins.—Dear Sir—My manuscripts have returned from you and they look as if they had been "somewhere in France." First I was discouraged, then angry clear through and now I am tickled to death. Thank yor for your help—I have more confidence in you than ever. I have another story that I was sure was ready for the smooth copy; I applied your corrections to it and it, too, looks as if it had been at the front. When it has been compressed to my limit, I'll send it along to you.

W. J. L.

A. F. B. writes: "Dear Mr. Hawkins: Your criticism of my story at hand I have in the past ten or twelve years paid out a large sum of money for help is story writing. This is the first assistance I ever received that exactly hit my case."

PROSI	E CRI	TICIS	M	RA	TE	s.				
500 wo	rds or	less.							\$1.00	
500 to	1.000	words							1.50	
1,000 to	2,000	words	3						2.00	
2,000 to	5,000	word	S						2.50	
5,000 to	0 10.00	0 wor	ds.						3.00	
10,000	to 15.0	00 wor	rds.						4.00	
15,000	to 20.0	00 wor	ds.						5.00	
Each 1	0.000 7	vords	abo	ove	20,	000			2.50	
	UCED									
uscript	s sent	or p	aid	fo	ra	0	ne	t	ime.	
2 000 +	5 000	word		9 4	or	94	50-	_3	for	

2,000 to 5,000 words. 2 for \$4.50—3 for \$6—5 for \$9—10 for \$15.

1,000 to 2,000 words. 2 for \$3.50—3 for \$5—5 for \$7.50.

Additional lines, each......

 li

S

r

u o:

tv

lit

pı

be

fe

en

an

els

dis

ing "P bas

in

Ent

TYPING SERVICE-LETTER PERFECT.

Typing verse, 1 cent a line, minimum

Return postage should accompany manuscripts sent for typing or criticism No responsibility is assumed for manuscripts lost in transit.

TYPEWRITTEN MARKET LISTS, alphabetically listing, in convenient form, 225 standard, household, juvenile, and class mazagines, with addresse correct to date. Price \$1.00. Address The Student-Writer.

#### The Supervision Story-Writing Course

Experience has convinced me that I can offer students the most satisfactory assistance by taking entire supervision over their literary work for a period of a year or more. I have no set form lessons. Each student presents a different problem, and I prefer to make a study of his or her individual needs. I have found the plan successful both with beginners and with those who have already attained a degree of success. The result with the former is to bring their work up to acceptable standards. With the latter the result is increased confidence, a more regular output, and the attainment of better markets.

Full course, \$100, payable \$10.00 monthly or \$25.00 quarterly; discount for full payment in advance. Partial courses on similar terms. Address Willard E. Hawkins, Workshop of The Student-Writer.